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## Pigeonhole Principle

## Theorem (Pigeonhole Principle)

Let $n$ and $k$ be positive integers. When placing $n$ objects (or pigeons) into $k$ boxes (or pigeonholes), if $n>k$ then at least one box must contain more than one object.

## Proof.

Proof by contraposition. We can show that: If all $k$ boxes contain at most one object, then $k \leq n$.

Observe that the max number of objects $n$ is the same as the number of boxes $k$ since there is at most one per box. It is the case $k \leq n$.

By the contrapositive, we conclude the theorem is true.

## Applying pigeon, examples

For every 27 word sequence in the US constitution, at least two words will start will the same letter.

If you pick five numbers from integers 1 to 8 , then two of them must add up to 9 .

```
https://mindyourdecisions.com/blog/2008/11/25/
16-fun-applications-of-the-pigeonhole-principle/
```


## Pigoenholes and onto and one-to-one functions

Consider the two sets, $A=\{1,2,3\}$ and $B=\{w, x, y, z\}$

- Is it possible to find an one-to-one function from $A$ to $B$ ?
- Is it possible to find an onto function from $A$ to $B$ ?
- One-to-one: Pigeon hole principle with $A$ being pigeons and $B$ being pigeonholes. A counter example must exists when $|A|>|B|$.
- Onto: Pigeon hole principle with $B$ being pigeons and $A$ being pigeonholes.A counter example must exists when $|B|>|A|$.
What about a one-to-one correspondence?


## Cardinality and One-to-one Correspondence Functions

A one-to-one correspondence functions domain must be the same size as the co-domain, otherwise it would either not be onto or not one-to-one. This provides a way to reason about the cardinality of infinite sets.

## Definition

Let $A$ and $B$ be any sets. $A$ has the same cardinality as $B$ if, and only if, there exists a one-to-one correspondence from $A$ to $B$
$\forall$ sets $A$ and $B$

$$
|A|=|B| \Longleftrightarrow(\exists f: A \rightarrow B)(f \text { is a one-to-one correspondence })
$$

## Properties of Cardinality

- Reflexive property of cardinality
- $A$ has the same cardinality as $A$
- Symmetric property of cardinality
- If $A$ has the same cardinality as $B$, then $B$ has the same cardinality as $A$
- Transitive property of cardinality
- If $A$ has the same cardinality as $B$, and $B$ has the same cardinality as $C$, then $A$ has the same cardinality as $C$.

A relation that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive defines a equality relation. (We'll see this again!)

## Proving Reflexive Property

## Definition

The identify function $I_{A}: A \rightarrow A$ is a function such that forall $a \in A$, $I_{A}(a)=a$.

Example: Here are two identity functions for $\mathbb{R}$

- $g(x)=x+0$
- $h(x)=x \cdot 1$

Is the identity function of a set a one-to-one correspondence?

## Identity functions are one-to-one correspondences

## Proof.

The identity function $I_{A}$ is one-to-one because if $I_{A}\left(x_{1}\right)=I_{A}\left(x_{2}\right)$, then $x_{1}=x_{2}$ because $I_{A}(x)=x$ for all inputs.

The identify function $I_{A}$ is a onto because if we assume that $u$ is in the co-domain, then we can always find $v$ in the domain such that $I_{A}(v)=u$. The example is when $u=v$ because then $I_{A}(v)=v$ and $v=u$.

So, cardinality is reflexive $(|A|=|A|)$ because the identity function $I_{A}$ is a one-to-one corresponds between $A$ and $A$.

## Proving symmetry of cardinality

If we assume that $|A|=|B|$ then there exists a one-to-one correspondence function $f$ between $A$ and $B$, then there exists a inverse function $f^{-1}$ between $B$ and $A$ because all one-to-one correspondence functions are invertable.

We need to show that, if $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $f$ is a one-to-one correspondence, and $f^{-1}: B \rightarrow A$ is the inverse function from $B$ to $A$, then $f^{-1}$ is also a one-to-one correspondence?

## Inverse of a one-to-one correspondence is also one-to-one correspondence

Recall the definition of a function and its inverse:

$$
f^{-1}(y)=x \Longleftrightarrow f(x)=y
$$

## Proof.

$f^{-1}$ is one-to-one. We must show that if $f^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right)=f^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right)$ then $y_{1}=y_{2}$. Let $x=f^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right)=f^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right)$, then by definition of the inverse function, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=f^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right) \Longrightarrow f(x)=y_{1} \\
& x=f^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right) \Longrightarrow f(x)=y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $f(x)=y_{1}$ and $f(x)=y_{2}$ so $y_{1}=y_{2}$
$f^{-1}$ is onto. Let $x$ be in the co-domain $f^{-1}$, we must show that there exists a $y=f^{-1}(x)$. By definition of the inverse function, $f(x)=y$ and so we can find a $y$ such that $f^{-1}(x)=y$

## Transitivity and composition of functions

## Definition

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ and $g: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ be functions, then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is the composition of $f$ and $g$

$$
(g \circ f)(x)=g(f(x))
$$

Example, $f(x)=x+1$ and $g(n)=n^{2}$ both be functions from $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$
g \circ f=g(f(x))=(x+1)^{2}
$$

and

$$
f \circ g=f(g(n))=n^{2}+1
$$

## Exercise

Prove the following

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$, then $f \circ I_{X}=f$ and $I_{Y} \circ f=f$.

If $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is a one-to-one correspondence function, then $g \circ g^{-1}=I_{X}$ and $g^{-1} \circ g=I_{Y}$

## Composition of one-to-one functions

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ are both one-to-one, then $g \circ f$ is one-to-one.

## Proof.

We must show that if $(g \circ f)\left(x_{1}\right)=(g \circ f)\left(x_{2}\right)$ then $x_{1}=x_{2}$. Then by definition of composition of functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g \circ f)\left(x_{1}\right) & =(g \circ f)\left(x_{2}\right) \\
g\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right) & =g\left(f\left(x_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $g$ is one-to-one, that is $g\left(z_{1}\right)=g\left(z_{2}\right)$ implies $z_{1}=z_{2}$, we can reduce to $f\left(x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{2}\right)$

But also $f$ is one-to-one, so by the same argument $x_{1}=x_{2}$, which is what we must show.

## Composition of onto functions

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \rightarrow Z$ are both onto, then $g \circ f$ is onto.

## Proof.

If $z \in Z$, then we must show there exists an $x \in X$ such that $(g \circ f)(x)=z$.

By definition of composition $(g \circ f)(x)=g(f(x))$, and since $g$ is onto, we know there exists a $z \in Z$ for which $g(y)=z$.

Since $f$ is also onto, we know there exists an $x \in X$ such that $y=f(x)$. And hence there exists an $x$ such that

$$
(g \circ f)(x)=g(f(x))=g(y)=z
$$

So $(g \circ f)(x)$ is onto.

## Composition of one-to-one correspondence functions

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \rightarrow Z$ are both one-to-one correspondence, then $g \circ f$ is one-to-one correspondence.

This is true based on our two prior results: the composition of onto functions is onto, and the composition of one-to-one functions is one-to-one. Thus, the composition of two one-to-one correspondence functions is also one-to-one correspondence.

How does this result prove transitivity of cardinality?

## Transitivity of Cardinality

If $A$ has the same cardinality as $B$, and $B$ has the same cardinality as $C$, then $A$ has the same cardinality as $C$.

## Proof. <br> If $A$ and $B$ has the same cardinality, then there exist a one-to-one correspondence function $f: A \rightarrow B$, and the same for $B$ and $C$, there exists a one-to-one correspondence function $g: B \rightarrow C$.

The composition $f \circ g$ is also a one-to-one correspondence with domain $A$ and co-domain $C$, thus $A$ and $C$ also have the same cardinality.

## Cardinality of Infinite Sets

This new definition of cardinality allows us to reason about the size of infinite sets: Two sets are the same size if there exists a one-to-one correspondence between them. But this definition can lead to some very, very interesting results.

Let $2 \mathbb{Z}$ be the set of even integers, we can show that $|\mathbb{Z}|=|2 \mathbb{Z}|$

Can you find a one-to-one correspondence function $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 2 \mathbb{Z}$ ?

## Set of Even Integers

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 2 \mathbb{Z}, f(n)=2 n$ :

| $(\mathbb{Z})$ | $\cdots$ | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| $f(n)=2 n$ | $\ldots$ | $-3 \cdot 2$ | $-2 \cdot 2$ | $-1 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ |
|  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| $(2 \mathbb{Z})$ | $\ldots$ | -6 | -4 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | $\ldots$ |

Is $f$ one-to-one and onto? Yes! So the set of even integers has the same cardinality as the set of all integers, and both are of infinite size.

## Countable Sets

## Definition

A set is countably infinite if, and only if, it has the same cardinality as the set of positive integers $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

A set is countable if, and only if, it is either finite or infinitely countable.
A set that is not countable is called uncountable

## Exercises

Find a one-to-one correspondence function between the following infinite sets

The set of positive integers $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$to the set of all integers $(\mathbb{Z})$.

The set of positive integers $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$to the set of even integers $(2 \mathbb{Z})$.

## The set of rationals $\mathbb{Q}$ is countable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
\frac{1}{1} & \rightarrow & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{3} & \rightarrow & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots \\
2 & \swarrow & 2 & \nearrow & 2 & \swarrow & \frac{2}{2} & \nearrow \\
\frac{2}{1} & & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{2}{3} & & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots \\
\downarrow & \nearrow & & \swarrow & & \nearrow & & \swarrow \\
\frac{3}{1} & & \frac{3}{2} & & \frac{3}{3} & & \frac{3}{4} & \cdots \\
\frac{4}{1} & \swarrow & & & \nearrow & & & \swarrow \\
\frac{4}{1} & & \frac{4}{2} & & \frac{4}{3} & & \frac{4}{4} & \cdots \\
\downarrow & \nearrow & & & \cdots & & & \\
\frac{5}{1} & & \frac{5}{2} & & \frac{5}{3} & & \frac{5}{4} & \cdots
\end{array} \\
& f(1)=1 / 1, f(2)=1 / 2), f(3)=2 / 1, f(4)=3 / 1, f(5)=1 / 3, f(6)=1 / 4, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Are the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ countable?

Every real number can be represented as a decimal expansion

$$
z \cdot a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \ldots
$$

where $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_{i} \in[0,9]$.

The integers are numbers where all decimal places are $0, \forall i \geq 1, a_{i}=0$, and the rationals are numbers for which there are a finite expansion until 0 values are reached, where $\exists k, \forall i>k, a_{i}=0$.

## Cardinality of the reals (1)

## Theorem

The set of all real numbers between 0 and 1 is uncountable

## Proof

Suppose the set is countable, then we can write a list of real numbers and count them from (1) through ( $n$ )
$\begin{array}{cccccccc}(1) & 0 . & a_{1}^{1} & a_{2}^{1} & a_{3}^{1} & \cdots & a_{n}^{1} & \cdots \\ (2) & 0 . & a_{1}^{2} & a_{2}^{2} & a_{3}^{2} & \cdots & a_{n}^{2} & \cdots \\ (3) & 0 . & a_{1}^{3} & a_{2}^{3} & a_{3}^{3} & \cdots & a_{n}^{3} & \cdots \\ & & & \vdots & & & & \\ (n) & 0 . & a_{1}^{n} & a_{2}^{n} & a_{3}^{n} & \cdots & a_{n}^{n} & \cdots\end{array}$

Where $a_{j}^{i}$ is the $i$-th number and the digit in the $j$-th position of the decimal expansion

## Cantor's Diagnolization (1)

As a proof by contradiction, we will show that there exists a number between 0 and 1 that is not in the list. An example is helpful:

| 0. | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 2 | $\cdots$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0. | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| 0. | 0 | 3 | $\boxed{3}$ | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 0. | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 9 | $\cdots$ |
| 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $\cdots$ |

The number $0.21371 \ldots$ (highlighted above) is also a number between 0 and 1 , and should be somewhere in the list, but what about the number 0.12112 . . ?

## Cantor's Diagnolization (2)

The number $0.12112 \ldots$ is defined such that each digit is 1 if the diagonal is not 1 , and 2 if it is 1 . Then, that number will always differ from every number on the list at the diagonal, $a_{n}^{n}$.

| 0. | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 2 | $\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0. | $\not 2$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 0. | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| 0. | 1 | $\not 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 0. | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 0. | 1 | 2 | $\not \boxed{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 0. | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 9 | $\cdots$ |
| 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\not \Perp$ | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ |  |  |

## Cardinality of the reals (2)

## Proof (cont.)

Construct a new number $d=0 . d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} \ldots d_{n} \ldots$ where

$$
d_{n}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a_{n}^{n} \neq 1 \\ 2 & \text { if } a_{n}^{n}=1\end{cases}
$$

Then for all digits $d_{k} \neq a_{k}^{k}$ for all rows of the list, and thus $d$ cannot be in the list: a contradiction.

Thus the set of real numbers between 0 and 1 are not countable.

The implication is that $\left|\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right|=\infty$ and $|[0,1]|=\infty$, but $|[0,1]|>\left|\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right|$ because we can't count the reals using the positive integers.

## Generalizing uncountability

## Theorem (Countable Subsets) <br> Let $B \subseteq A$. If $A$ is countable, then $B$ is countable.

Theorem (contrapositive)
Let $B \subseteq A$. If $B$ is uncountable, then $A$ is uncountable.

By the contrapositive, if $[0,1]$ is uncountable, and $[0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathbb{R}$ is uncountable.

## Continuum Hypothesis and $\aleph_{0}$

```
Is |\mathbb{R}|>|[0,1]|?
```


## Continuum hypothesis

There is no set whose cardinality is strictly between that of the integers and the real numbers.

Symbolically, $\aleph_{0}$ is the "small" infinite (countable) and the "large" infinite of real numbers is $c$ (the continuum). The question is, does $\aleph_{1}=c$, as in the next infinite class (above small) already reaches the continuum.

This is one of the great unproven hypothesis in mathematics, as stated by Gregor Cantor in 1878.

## Exercise

Is the following countable or uncountable?
$\mathbb{Z}^{+} \times\{1,2\}$
$\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$

