







Predicates, Domains, and Truth Sets

Definition

A predicate is a sentence that contains a finite number of variables and becomes a statement when specific values are substituted for those values.

Definition

A domain of a predicate variable is the set of all values that may be substituted for a given predicate variable.

Definition

The truth set of predicate P(x) where x has domain D, is the set of all elements in D that generate true statements. Notationally, the truth set of P(X) is

 $\{x \in D \mid P(X)\}$

Prof. Adam J. Aviv (GW)

Lec 05:Logical Quantifiers

Exercises

Let P(x) be the predicate " $x^3 < x$ " with the domain of \mathbb{R} , what is

- P(2)
- P(1/2)
- *P*(−2)

Let Q(n) be the predicate "*n* is a factor of 12", find the truth set of Q(n)for the following domains:



Quantifiers

Definition

A quantifier is a way to convert a predicate into a statement by declaring how many elements (e.g., some, one, all) may be substituted for the predicate to be true, or put another way, define the size of the truth set for a given domain.

Definition

The universal quantifier, denoted with \forall symbol and read "for all", declares that all elements of a given domain satisfy the predicate.

Definition

The extensional quantifier, denoted with \exists symbol and read "exists", declares that there is at least one element of a given domain satisfies the predicate.





Exercises

Write out the following statements in plain English, and describe if/why the statements are true or false.

 $(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+)(x^2 \ge x)$

 $(\forall w \in \mathbb{R})(w > 2 \rightarrow w^2 > 4)$

 $(orall q \in \mathbb{Q})(\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z})(q = rac{a}{b})$

Prof. Adam J. Aviv (GW)



Ordering of \forall and \exists (example 1)

Consider the preposition P(x, y), where x is homes, y is families, and the predicate is true when family y lives in home x.

Lec 05:Logical Quantifiers

 $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$

"For all homes, there exists a family that lives in that home"

 $\exists y \,\forall x \, P(x, y)$

"There exists a family that lives in all homes"



Exercise

Write the following quantifiers in plain English

 $(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z})(\exists y \in \mathbb{Z})(x > y)$

 $(\exists y \in \mathbb{Z})(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z})(x > y)$

 $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\forall y \in \mathbb{R})(\exists a, b \in \mathbb{R})(x = 2/a \land y = 2/b)$

 $(\exists y \in \mathbb{Z})(\exists x \in \mathbb{Z})(2x = y)$

Prof. Adam J. Aviv (GW)

Implicit Quantification

We can write a statement with an implicit quantification, easily and naturally.

if
$$x > 2$$
 then $x^2 > 4$

This is equivalent to

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ if } x > 2 \text{ then } x^2 > 4$$

To indicate an explicit quantification we can use \implies and \iff between predicates:

$$x > 2 \implies x^2 > 4$$

The domain of the predicates is assumed to be the same (unless otherwise indicated)

Predicative conditional and bi-conditional

Definition

For predicates P(x) and Q(x) with the same domain U, and P^t and Q^t are the truth set of P and Q,

Lec 05:Logical Quantifiers

- $P(x) \implies Q(x) \equiv (\forall x \in U)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ • Every element of P's truth set is in Q's truth set ($P^t \subseteq Q^t$)
- $P(x) \iff Q(x) \equiv (\forall x \in U)(P(x) \leftrightarrow Q(x))$ • The truth sets of P and Q are equivalent $(P^t \subseteq Q^t \land Q^t \subseteq P^t)$

* We may use and \implies over \rightarrow in some cases, despite there not always being an implicit quantification.

Quantifiers in a Finite Domains

If we consider the domain of a predicate to be finite $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ How can we rewrite the following?

 $(\forall x \in D)(P(x))$

- $P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge \ldots \wedge P(x_n)$
- All finite values must be true for the universal quantifier to be true

$(\exists x \in D)(P(X))$

- $P(x_1) \vee P(x_2) \vee \ldots \vee P(x_n)$
- At least one of the finite values must be true for the extensional quantifier to be true

Prof. Adam J. Aviv (GW)

Lec 05:Logical Quantifiers

17 / 22

Negation of a Universal Quantifier

What is the inverse of the follow statement?

 $(\forall x \in D)(P(x))$

Negation of a statement that is true when the statement is false. A forall quantifier is false when there exists a counter example.

 $(\exists x \in D)(\neg P(x))$

Lec 05:Logical Quantifiers



Negation of Universal Conditional Statement

What is the inverse of the follow statement?

$$(\forall x \in U)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$$

 $\neg [(\forall x \in U)(P(x) \to Q(x)] \equiv (\exists x \in U)[\neg(P(x) \to Q(x))]$

Recall that $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \land \neg q$

Prof. Adam J. Aviv (GW)

$$(\exists x \in U)[\neg (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))] \equiv (\exists x \in U)(P(x) \land \neg Q(x))$$

Connection to DeMorgan's Law

Pro

DeMorgan's Law shows us that the inversion of a \wedge is a $\lor,$ and the inversion of a \lor is a \wedge

$$egreen (p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q \
egreen (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

Similarly, the inversion of \forall is an $\exists,$ and the inversion of a \exists is a $\forall.$

$$\neg(\forall x, P(x)) \equiv \exists x, \neg P(x)$$
$$\neg(\exists x, P(x)) \equiv \forall x, \neg P(x)$$





